

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,721	12/29/2000	John Brixius	17209-005	8324
54205 7590 10/12/2007 CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFE	LER·PLAZA		PATEL, J	AGDISH
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	•
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/751,721	BRIXIUS, JOHN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAGDISH PATEL	3693	

		JAGDISH PATEL	3693	
The MAILING DAT	E of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
	ber 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a this application, applicant places the application in</li> </ol>	a final rejection, but prior to or on t must timely file one of the follow condition for allowance; (2) a No Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Not ving replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal fe	ice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other eviden ee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply exp b) The period for reply exp no event, however, will Examiner Note: If box 1 TWO MONTHS OF TH	oires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u> oires on: (1) the mailing date of this A the statutory period for reply expire la is checked, check either box (a) or ( E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date seater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE 06.07(f).	mailing date of the final reject EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date for purp under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated set forth in (b) above, if checked.	d under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date coses of determining the period of exit from: (1) the expiration date of the same reply received by the Office later adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding as shortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mai	mount of the fee. The approproly originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of Appea	s filed on A brief in comp il (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte een filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment (a) They raise new iss (b) They raise the issu (c) They are not deem appeal; and/or	ent(s) filed after a final rejection, lues that would require further cone of new matter (see NOTE belowed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (sew); ter form for appeal by materi	ee NOTE below); ally reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (S	ional claims without canceling a eee 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(PTOL-224)
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has ov</li> <li>Newly proposed or ame non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	of in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.2 ercome the following rejection(s) nded claim(s) would be al	: 35 USC 112( second) reject lowable if submitted in a sep	tion of claims 10 and 11 . arate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how the new or amended	<u>11</u> . 12-18 and 20-23.		will be entered and an entered an entered and an entered an entered and an entered an entered an entered and an entered an entered and an entered an entered an entered and an entered an entered and an entered and entered an entered an entered an entered and entered an entered and entered and entered and entered and entered an entered and entered and entered and entered and entered and entered and entered an entered and entered and entered and entered an entered and entered and entered an entered and ent	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evid because applicant failed was not earlier presented</li> </ol>	dence filed after a final action, bu to provide a showing of good and d. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
entered because the affice showing a good and suff	dence filed after the date of filing davit or other evidence failed to d icient reasons why it is necessan	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under y and was not earlier present	appeal and/or appellant fa ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDE				
See Continuation Shee		. , , ,		nce because:
12. Note the attached Informula. Other:	mation Disclosure Statement(s).	(P1O/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument regrading claims 22 and 23 rejection under 35 USC 101 are not persuasive because the claimed inventions are directed to a "computer instruction" embodided in a "digital data stream" generated by a specific method steps and not a "computer readable medim" (a product that embodies the program which when executed by a computer performs the method".

Applicant's argument that the term "legal option" is not equivalent to the "restrictions" is not persuasive because the process steps of automatically generating documentation supporting of the trade is not patentably distinguished whether the generated document include "restrictions" or "legal opinions". These two terms are not patentably distinct. (The examiner need not give patentable weight to descriptive material absent a new and nonobvious functional relationship between the descriptive material and the substrate (in this case the descriptive material is "leagal opinion" and the substrate is the (automatically) generating documenation supportive of the trade). See In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); In re Ngai, 367 F.3d 1336, 70 USPQ2d 1862 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

JAGDISH N. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER